Pediatric Surgery Quality Collaborative

July 18th 2022







GETTING OLDER IS JUST ONE BODY PART AFTER ANOTHER SAYING, 'HA HA, YOU THINK THAT'S BAD? WATCH THIS.







Gas Prices







Thanks to Lurie Children's









Agenda

- State of the Collaborative
- Retreat summary
- Current status with the ACS
- Implementing Texting as Part of Your 30-day Follow-up Strategy
 - Ms. Lori Montgomery, Cook Children's
 - Ms. Susan Quigley, Boston Children's
- Project 2
 - Derek Wakeman/Tamar Levene
- Data Download Automation in NSQIP
 - Mr. Steve Merzlak, IQVIA
- Project 3 Shawn Rangel Awaiting data
- Projects 4
 - Antibiotics and Complex Appendicitis **Eric Grethel**/Monica Lopez
 - Opioid Stewardship Steve Shew
 - Colorectal SSI TBD







PSQC Overview

The PSQC is a partnership of Children's hospitals and the American College of Surgeons who share the mission of delivering high quality, cost effective, patient-centered surgical care.







PSQC Overview

- Collaborative of NSQIP-P hospitals
- 85 Members with signed DUA
- All but one of the CSV Level 1 hospitals
- National in scope by design









The Triad of Surgical Quality Improvement



PSQC





















Nobody got Covid (from that meeting)







Structure







PSQC Structure





Executive Committee Specific Alignment with Organizations







- Structure
- Future projects















Project Development and Implementation Committee (PDIC)



Dr. Mehul Raval, MD, MS, FAAP, FACS Anne and Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital







PDIC



























Working Groups (Can expand)

- Project # 1 Mehul Raval
- Project # 2 Derek Wakeman/Tamar Levene
- Project # 3 Shawn Rangel
- Project(s) # 4 Just Starting















- Structure
- Future projects
- Monthly SCR forum/Webinar







- Structure
- Future projects
- Monthly SCR forum/Webinar
- Matchmaker







Call for all Problems









Agenda

- State of the Collaborative
- Retreat summary

Current status with the ACS

- Implementing Texting as Part of Your 30-day Follow-up Strategy
 - Ms. Lori Montgomery, Cook Children's
 - Ms. Susan Quigley, Boston Children's
- Project 2 -
 - Derek Wakeman/Tamar Levene
- Data Download Automation in NSQIP
 - Mr. Steve Merzlak, IQVIA
- Project 3 Shawn Rangel Awaiting data
- Projects 4
 - Antibiotics and Complex Appendicitis Eric Grethel/Monica Lopez
 - Opioid Stewardship Steve Shew
 - Colorectal SSI TBD







Agenda

- State of the Collaborative
- Retreat summary
- Current status with the ACS
- Implementing Texting as Part of Your 30-day Follow-up Strategy
 - Ms. Lori Montgomery, Cook Children's
 - Ms. Susan Quigley, Boston Children's
- Project 2 -
 - Derek Wakeman/Tamar Levene
- Data Download Automation in NSQIP
 - Mr. Steve Merzlak, IQVIA
- Project 3 Shawn Rangel Awaiting data
- Projects 4
 - Antibiotics and Complex Appendicitis Eric Grethel/Monica Lopez
 - Opioid Stewardship Steve Shew
 - Colorectal SSI TBD





























Lori Montgomery, BSN, RN Cook Children's Medical Center Fort Worth, Texas

PROCESS

- Generate call list from NSQIP database
- Specific format for excel file
- Uploaded to Surgery Survey folder
- Text sent out by PatientEXP
- Results sent back via email from REDCap



Surgery Quality Improvement Survey

Resize font: | 🖃

Thank you for taking our short survey! Your answers will help us provide the best surgical care to our patients and families. Please know that we will keep your information private. We will not give out your name or your child's name to anyone.

What's the patient's last name? * must provide value		
What is the patient's first name? * must provide value		
What is the patient's date of birth? * must provide value	Today M-D-Y	
Has your child (the patient) returned to their normal activities such as day care, play groups, school, or sports?	○ Yes○ No	reset
Has your child (the patient) had any complications since surgery? Complications could include an infection, uncontrolled pain, a visit to a hospital that wasn't Cook, or anything you feel is out of the ordinary. * must provide value	○ Yes○ No	reset
Submit		

SURVEY

Parents receive link to REDCap survey via text

Simple message -Hi, this is Lori from the Quality Department at Cook Children's Medical Center. I am following up to see how your child is doing since the recent surgery. Please click the link to complete a short survey

Worked with legal to ensure HIPPA compliant

Has your child (the patient) had any complications since surgery? Complications could include an infection, uncontrolled pain, a visit to a hospital that wasn't Cook, or anything you feel is out of the ordinary.

* must provide value

For quality improvement purposes, I would like to hear about the complications or your concerns. What is a good day to call you?

* must provide value



Yes

O No

* must provide value

What is a good time to call you?

- O Mid-morning (10 am 12 pm)
- Unch (12 pm 2 pm)
- Afternoon (2 pm 5 pm)

reset

reset

reset

SURVEY

If yes is selected for complication further information is requested for date and time I can call for further information





OUTESTIONS

Texting as a Tool for 30-Day Follow-up

The use of texting and email for 30-day follow-up for improved efficiency and response

Susan Quigley RN, BSN, Senior Surgical Clinical Reviewer Boston Children's Hospital



Where the world comes for answers

Contact Information

Please feel free to contact me at the following:

Susan.Quigley@childrens.Harvard.edu

Thank you!
I have no conflicts of interest or financial disclosures.



Where the world comes for answers



To my colleagues at Boston Children's Hospital: Kerry McCaffrey, RN Crystal Stroh, RN Kristina Taylor, RN Elisia Willette, RN Dr. Shawn Rangel Mariam Irshad and her IT team and The team from Phillips/Medumo: Corey Dolan Megan Kim And the whole support team



Where the world comes for answers

How did we get here?

Poor response to letters

- Phone calls to parents not often answered; messages not returned
- Time consuming to keep calling; inefficient; increased stress due to effort expended on this part of abstraction

```
Led to </= 80% follow-up rate !!!!</p>
```

What were our options?



- Phone used for only that purpose idea declined by hospital
- Boston Children's Portal concern for not reaching every family
- EMR nothing available for us from our current EMR
- Straight email not allowed by hospital due to HIPAA
- After informal survey of SCRs, TEXTING -Yes!!
 - Thank you to all who responded to that survey
 - Many different options
 - So from here, we needed to find the right one for us

Where to begin??



At the start line, of course!

- Others in-house who used texting
 Any and all contacts within the hospital

Getting to the finish line!

Found a group within the hospital that worked with new IT projects

- Already had contract with Phillips/Medumo with other projects
- Facilitated contact, and remained for the duration of the texting platform creation with Phillips/Medumo
- Procured funding via grant as cost was \$15,000 per year

Contract created

Legal reviewed and accepted - security approved already

Company built texting message based on our requirements

Many revisions to get it right

Tested using staff as "patient"

What message is being sent?

Text sent:

- 1. Who we are and why we are sending a text/email / NOT CLINICAL
- Acknowledges that "your child" had surgery
- 3. Have there been any complications since your child has been in contact with BCH? Yes or No



Parent response:

- 1. Yes or No
- 2. Unsubscribe me
- 3. No response at all

If yes, send a text that says "we will call you".

If no, send a text that says "thank you for helping us".

If no response first time, send another the following week.

What's the Process?

Sending to Medumo

- After a cycle is complete, a report is created in REDCap* for those patients we want to have a text/email sent
- Every Wednesday AM we send that report to Medumo - takes about 5 minutes to set up and send

*REDCap is a database we use to communicate about cycle completion, occurrences, follow-up

Receiving from Medumo

- Every Friday AM we receive back a report that shows patient response
- All patients that don't respond the first week get sent a repeat text the next week again
- We document in the workstation all the responses or "no response"
- The time it takes varies depending on number we have sent out but average time for 6-8 patients is usually 30 minutes

Some number crunching.....

*Out of 616 enrolled patients in the texting program

- 415 responded
 - -400 responded that there was no post-op complication
 - -15 responded that there was and when called back, none were classified as an occurrence by NSQIP standards

*Since we started the program in December 2020, the % follow-up has gone from barely squeaking by at 80% to consistently staying at 87-88%

*Since starting the program, our time doing follow-up has gone from minimum 2-3 hours of follow-up to less than 1 hour doing follow-up



Issues

Not many!!!

Building, legal, testing - takes time!

But since.....

- Rare glitch technically
- Great support from the team; prompt response
- If non-English speaking person responds yes, how do we handle?
- Year change

Well, did it make a difference???

small changes can have a big impact

Things are changing all the time!

Many new opportunities for texting, you just have to look within your institution!!



Reducing postoperative CT imaging utilization in pediatric appendicitis

Tamar Levene, MD Derek Wakeman, MD July 18, 2022







Workgroup Members

- Mary Bolhuis, RN
- ▶ John Chandler, MD
- Cathy Ehster, RN
- Cindy Gingalewski, MD
- ▶ Fabienne Gray, MD
- Peter Juviler, MD
- Tamar Levene, MD
- Derek Wakeman, MD

SCR, Children's Wisconsin Surgeon, PrismaHealth SCR, Children's Wisconsin Surgeon, Randall Children's Surgeon, New Orleans Children's PGY3, Golisano Children's Co-Lead, Surgeon, DiMaggio Children's Co-Lead, Surgeon, Golisano Children's





Rationale

- Appendicitis is a common surgical emergency
- Significant practice variability
- Computed tomography imaging frequently used
- Increased risk of radiationassociated malignancies

THealth | McGovern

The University of Texas

Health Science Center at Houston

Hematologic malignancy risk highest in 0-15 yo

Medical School



NEJM 2007;357(22):2277--8 Lancet 2012;380(9840):499--505 JAMA Surgery 2021;156(4):343--51

Hospita



Reduction of CT utilization for Pre-op Imaging of Pediatric Appendicitis

Implementation Guide

Aim Statement

By June 30, 2022, the aggregate CT utilization rate for the Collaborative will be reduced from 24.5% to 15%.

Balancing Measure

The negative appendectomy rate for the Collaborative will remain at or below 1.75%.







Variation in CT Utilization **Complicated Appendicitis** Postoperative CT Utilization (Complicated Patients)





Postoperative Imaging Utilization

- Clinical Pathways
- Infection Rates
- Institutional US availability/quality
- Institutional MRI availability/quality
- Postop imaging selection criteria







OS/SSI Rate vs. Postop CT Rate



Project 2 Methodology

- Qualitative methods
 - Semi-structured interviews
 - Low and high outlier performance vs. all centers
 - Shared learning
 - Best practices, culture change, sustainability of implementation strategies
- Postop imaging utilization scorecards
- Implementation of specific QI initiatives











Project Timeline

	Tmeline for 2	nd PSQC	C Project	t Target	ed Appy	Post-O	p CT Uti	lization								
		CY2022														
Task	15-Jun	30-Jun	15-Jul	30-Jul	15-Aug	30-Aug	15-Sep	30-Sep	15-Oct	30-Oct	t 15-Nov	30-Nov	15-Dec	30-Dec		
Draft Interview Guide	>															
Review interview guide/finalize		_														
Request permission to unmask sites for interviews																
Identify interviewees at each site																
Set-up interviews																
PSQC SAR released				*												
Conduct interviews												→				
Analyze transcripts																
Identify best practices																







Project Timeline-2023

	СҮ2023															
Task	15-Jan	30-Jan	15-Feb	28-Feb	15-Mar	30-Mar	15-Apr	30-Apr	15-May	30-May	15-Jun	30-Jun	15-Jul	30-Jul	15-Aug	30-Aug
PSQC SAR released			*													
Develop implementation bundle																
Train all sites on implementation bundle						•										
Meet with sites, review progress																
PDSA Cycles																
Develop interim report on process findings								-								
Present prelim at APSA																
Webinar for members on process experiences																
Continue meeting with sites, receiving feedback									_				→			
Present prelim at ACS Q&S														-		
PSQC SAR released														*		
Webinar for members on SAR measures																
Develop report on outcomes																







Next Steps

- Conduct interviews
- Qualitative analysis
- Identify best practices
- Develop implementation guide
- Share with collaborative









Questions?

















NSQIP DATA AUTOMATION

Steve Merzlak Sr. Business Systems Analyst

© 2021. All rights reserved. IQVIA® is a registered trademark of IQVIA Inc. in the United States, the European Union, and various other countries.



Agenda

- +Automation Experience
- +Benefits of Automation
- +SCR Experience
- +What is Data Automation
- + Journey to Automation
- +Demo



Automation Experience

- Over 25 years working with NSQIP
- Chief Engineer for the original ACS NSQIP structure and website
- Architect of the original data automation tools
- Principal support person contact for NSQIP automation
 - 566 Adult sites
 - 94 Pediatric sites
 - 81 Bariatric sites



Benefits of Automation

• Efficiency

• Accuracy

- Time Saver
 - Investigate
 - Use the data
- Improve Quality



The SCR Experience





Demographic Information

400+ Variables for Peds

8 day cycle

30 day Follow Up Custom Variables??? 90 days to lock Dates/Times

Labs



What is Data Automation?

- Data automation is the process of
 - extracting
 - formatting
 - and securely transmitting data to the ACS NSQIP database.
- Available for
 - Adult sites and Adult Epic sites
 - Pediatric sites
 - Bariatric sites



The SCR Experience





Formatting Data – Option 1 Direct to XML



- Hardest for IT
- Easiest for SCR
- Need to Preselect Cases
- One Step
 - Drag and Drop





Formatting Data – Option 2 Export Database

- 2
 - Lack XML Expertise
 - Data from Multiple Sources
 - Ability to use Export Database to Select Cases
 - Two Steps
 - ACS Export
 - Drag and Drop



Formatting Data – Option 3 Custom Code

- 3
 - CSV
 - Excel
 - Easiest for IT
 - Hardest for SCR
 - Three Steps
 - Import File to Export Database
 - ACS Export
 - Drag and Drop




Formatting Data – Summary

Option	Drag and Drop	Effort for IT	Effort for SCR	Case Selection	Uses ACS Export
1 XML	Yes	Difficult	Mid	Need to preselect cases.	No
2 Export Database	Yes	Mid	Mid	Can use export database to select cases	Yes or No
3 Custom Code	Yes	Easy	Mid	Can use Excel file to select cases	Yes



Journey to Automation





Journey to Automation





Upload Process with Custom Access Database





Benefits

• Efficiency

• Accuracy

- Time Saver
 - Investigate
 - Use the data
- Improve Quality



Demo





Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford

PSQC Opioid NSQIP Project

Stephen B. Shew, MD July 18, 2022







Disclosures

• No financial disclosures





Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford





Disclosures

• Significant contributor to opioid prescriptions in Calif since early 2000s









Background

- Opioid Rx has been existing standard for postop analgesia
- American Pain Society 1996: "Pain as 5th Vital sign"
- Biased provider perceptions and variability in prescribing
- Poor provider to patient/parent opioid education
- Under-recognized misuse of opioid prescriptions
- Current opioid epidemic estimated costs by CDC:
 - >600,000 deaths
 - \$92 billion dollars







Opioid Prescription Misuse



Fig. 1. Historical trends in lifetime prevalence (left scale) and incidence (right scale) of prescription opioid misuse among youth. 1965–2002.

Sung HE et al. J Adolesc Health 2005



Stanford Children's Health Stanford



Opioid Prescription Misuse



from SAMHSU – Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Admin, based on 2017 NSDUH survey







Opioid Prescription Misuse



11.1 Million People Aged 12 or Older Who Misused Prescription Pain Relievers in the Past Year

from SAMHSU – Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Admin, based on 2017 NSDUH survey

Stanford Children's Health Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford





Opioid Rx – Variation for Appy



Fig. 2. Proportion of patients receiving opioid prescriptions at discharge after appendectomy for simple appendicitis by surgeon.

Table 3

Postdischarge outcomes in simple appendicitis patients who did not receive opioids compared to those who did receive opioids. ED = emergency department.

	No Opioids Received	Received Opioids	p-value	
n (%)	139 (37.5)	232 (62.5)		
ED visit	6 (4.3)	31 (13.4)	0.005	
ED chief complaint abdominal pain	3 (50)	22 (70.0)	<0.001	
Readmission	3 (2.2)	12 (5.2)	0.15	
Constipation	0(0)	9 (3.9)	0.02	
Constipation requiring readmission	0(0)	4 (2.1)	0.11	

Tsao et al. JPS 2018







Common Opioid Stewardship Goals

- Decrease or eliminate postop opioid prescriptions
 - Limit opioid prescription dose number and refill
 - Minimize prescription variation by use of guidelines
 - Avoid inappropriate prescribing (eg., codeine, Tramadol)
- Maximize local / regional anesthesia modalities
 - Pre-incision blockade
- Maximize appropriate NSAID use
 - Preemptive analgesia admin
 - Postop routine RTC NSAID use
 - Multi-modality non-opioid meds w- alternate dose timing

Stanford Children's Health Stanford





Opioid Rx QI – Ped Surgery

- Stanford Ped Surgery Opioid Prescription QI in 2018
 - Universal surgeon consensus in division (rare)
 - Inspired by principles from the 'mother of opioid stewardship'
 - Goal: Eliminate all opioid postop discharge prescriptions
 - Exceptions: Nuss procedure, Bariatric procedures, some trauma
 - Multi-modality meds and anesthesia
 - Limit dose prescriptions
 - Maximize local / regional anesthesia modalities
 - Standard alternating Tylenol / Ibuprofen







Multi-Institutional Quality Improvement Project to Minimize Opioid Prescribing in Children after Appendectomy Using NSQIP-Pediatric

Lorraine I Kelley-Quon, MD, MSHS, FACS, FAAP, Shadassa Ourshalimian, MPH, Justin Lee, MD, FACS, Katie W Russell, MD, FACS, Karen Kling, MD, FACS, Stephen B Shew, MD, FACS, Claudia Mueller, PhD, MD, FACS, Aaron R Jensen, MD, MED, MS, FACS, Lan Vu, MD, FACS, Benjamin Padilla, MD, FACS, Daniel Ostlie, MD, FACS, Caitlin Smith, MD, FACS, Thomas Inge, MD, FACS, Jonathan Roach, MD, FACS, Romeo Ignacio, MD, FACS, Katrine Lofberg, MD, FACS, Stephanie Radu, MCR, Autumn Rohan, BS, Kasper S Wang, MD, FACS



J Am Coll Surg 2022 Mar 1;234(3):290-298. PMID: 35213491



The WPSRC is a multi-institutional surgical collaborative committed to advancing the care of infants and children through contemporary evidence-based research.







QI Goal:

Decrease opioid Rx at time of discharge for children undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy across WPSRC consortium sites





Baseline Opioid Stewardship – WPSRC sites

- 5 of 10 centers had existing protocols for eliminating opioid Rx after laparoscopic appendectomy
- Significant variation at remaining sites

- WPSRC member consensus:
 - pediatric surgeons *should* be eliminating opioid Rx after lap appy
 - multi-site buy-in would be attainable





Leveraging NSQIP-Peds for Multi-Institutional QI

- NSQIP platform customizable field inputs
- Opioid Rx variables at discharge (EMR) and SCR 30d follow-up
 - Opioid type, dose, alternative source opioid Rx, persistent use at 30d
 - ER visit, Readmission (all-cause and cause)
 - Likert 5-point satisfaction scale on 30d F-U (balancing measure)
- Strong SCR engagement, minimal work added
- Engagement elicited and project endorsed by parent representative
 - Uniform discharge instructions alternating Tylenol & Ibuprofen





QI Implementation Plan





Demographics of NSQIP pts

Table 1. Cohort Demographics

	Total,	Preintervention,	Postintervention,	
Variable	N = 1,524	n = 730	n = 794	p Value
Male sex, n (%)	891 (58.5)	435 (59.6)	456 (57.4)	0.393
Race, n (%)				0.694
American Indian or Alaska Native	16 (1.1)	9 (1.2)	7 (0.9)	
Asian	57 (3.7)	25 (3.4)	32 (4.0)	
Black or African American	27 (1.8)	11 (1.5)	16 (2.0)	
Multiracial	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6 (0.4)	4 (0.6)	2 (0.3)	
Unknown	439 (28.8)	219 (30.0)	220 (27.7)	
White	977 (64.1)	461 (63.2)	516 (65.0)	
Hispanic ethnicity, n (%)				0.113
Yes	670 (44.0)	340 (46.6)	330 (41.6)	
No	789 (51.8)	363 (49.7)	426 (53.7)	
Unknown	65 (4.3)	27 (3.7)	38 (4.8)	
Insurance, n (%)				
Private	720 (47.2)	333 (45.6)	387 (48.7)	0.222
Public	764 (50.1)	366 (50.1)	398 (50.1)	0.997
Self-pay	14 (0.9)	8 (1.1)	6 (0.8)	0.487
Other	106 (7.0)	64 (8.8)	42 (5.3)	0.008
Complicated appendicitis, n (%)	463 (30.4)	230 (31.5)	233 (29.4)	0.359
Age at surgery, y, mean ± SD	10.6 (3.7)	10.4 (3.8)	10.7 (3.6)	0.044





Figure 2. Run chart: percent of children receiving opioids at discharge by hospital (A–J) before and after the quality improvement intervention. No-Protocol Hospitals are highlighted in red/orange/yellow, Protocol Hospitals are highlighted in blue/green.



Outcomes based on type of appendicitis

Table 2. Overall Rate of Opioid Prescribing at Discharge and Balancing Measures

Variable	Overall							
	Complicated appendicitis n = 463 (30.4%)			Uncomplicated appendicitis n = 1061 (69.6%)				
	Preintervention, n = 230 (%)	Postintervention, n = 233 (%)	p Value	Preintervention, n = 500 (%)	Postintervention, n = 561 (%)	p Value		
Discharged with opioid prescription, n (%)	19 (8.3)	5 (2.2)	0.003	114 (22.8)	27 (4.8)	< 0.001		
30-day ER visit, n (%)	23 (10.1)	35 (15.8)	0.0694	41 (8.4)	37 (7.3)	0.5181		
Parent satisfaction score, mean ± SD	-	4.7±0.7	-	_	4.8±0.6	-		
ER, emergency room.								



Outcomes based on pre-existing hospital opioid-free Rx protocol

			Complicate N = 46	d appendicitis 3 (30.4%)		
	Protocol hospital			No-protocol hospital		
Variable	Preintervention, n = 122	Postintervention, n = 145	p Value	Preintervention, n = 108	Postintervention, n = 88	p Value
Discharged with opioid prescription, n (%)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.7)	1.000	18 (16.7)	4 (4.6)	0.011
30-day ER visit, n (%)	12 (9.8)	26 (19.3)	0.034	11 (10.4)	9 (10.5)	0.984
Parent satisfaction score, mean ± SD	-	4.8±0.6	-	-	4.6 ±0.9	_

Table 3. Rate of Opioid Prescribing at Discharge and Balancing Measures for Complicated Appendicitis

ER, emergency room.

Table 4.	Rate of Opioid Prescribing	g at Discharge and	Balancing Measures	for Uncomplicated Appendicitis

	Uncomplicated appendicitis N = 1061 (69.6%)						
	Protocol hospitals			No-protocol hospitals			
Variable	Preintervention, n = 286	Postintervention, n = 330	p Value	Pre-intervention, n = 214	Post-intervention, n = 231	p Value	
Discharged with opioid prescription, n (%)	10 (3.5)	3 (0.9)	0.045	104 (48.6)	24 (10.4)	< 0.001	
30-day ER visit, n (%)	25 (8.7)	18 (6.4)	0.294	16 (7.8)	19 (8.3)	0.851	
Mean parent satisfaction score, mean ± SD	-	4.8±0.5	_	-	4.7 ±0.7	_	
ER, emergency room.							



Can we extrapolate opioid stewardship QI to PSQC sites ???









QI Goals:

- Assess current variation in opioid Rx patterns across all NSQIP pts from PSQC sites and specialties
- Establish guidelines and resources for opioid stewardship to distribute to PSQC sites
- Decrease opioid Rx by 50% of baseline across PSQC sites in 1yr
- Eliminate inappropriate opioid type prescribing
- Maintain equivalent counter-balance measures
 - 30-d ER revisit, patient/parent satisfaction score







Implementation Plan:

- Utilize NSQIP platform and SCR / Surg champion engagement
- New standard, required variables to be created in NSQIP platform:
 - Opioid prescription (Y/N) [REQUIRED]
 - Opioid type (drop down selection) [REQUIRED]
 - Doses prescribed [OPTIONAL]
- NSQIP platform to assess PSQC site practice patterns in opioid Rx
- Custom variables to further characterize opioid Rx
- Quarterly to semi-annual reports of site comparison to PSQC







Implementation Tools:

- Shared parental education handouts
- Education to SCRs for NSQIP custom variable creation and data abstraction
- PDSA cycles q3mo, site feedback reports from PSQC
- Opioid stewardship coaching to high outlier opioid Rx sites





Immediate Next Steps:

- Formation of PSQC Opioid Stewardship working group
- Selection of pertinent process and outcome variables and counterbalance measures
- Potential barriers to address / solve:
 - NSQIP creation of new standard variables → at least 18-24 months before in standard NSQIP SAR
 - Site engagement / bandwidth for custom variables and data management
 - DUAs







Questions ? Interested in being involved ??!!

Stephen Shew sbshew@stanford.edu

Terry Fisher <u>terry.fisher@uth.tmc.edu</u>







Antibiotic Duration in Complex Appendicitis

Erich Grethel, Monica Lopez







Background

- NSQIP-P cohort there is wide variability in antibiotic prescription practice
 - Most recent NSQIP-P SAR reveals usage of oral antibiotics on discharge ranging from 0% to 100%, with a median of about 65%
- Lack of universally accepted treatment with regard to antibiotic therapy after appendectomy for complex appendicitis in pediatric patients
- Antibiotic stewardship protects patients from harms caused by unnecessary antibiotic use and combats antibiotic resistance

Discharge Oral Antibiotic Usage in Complicated Patients



Discharge Oral Antibiotic Utilization (Complicated Patients)

Your hospital did not have any eligible cases Cohort Median Rate 67.4% 138 hospitals, 5550 cases in the Cohort

STOP-IT Trial

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Trial of Short-Course Antimicrobial Therapy for Intraabdominal Infection

R.G. Sawyer, J.A. Claridge, A.B. Nathens, O.D. Rotstein, T.M. Duane, H.L. Evans, C.H. Cook, P.J. O'Neill, J.E. Mazuski, R. Askari, M.A. Wilson, L.M. Napolitano, N. Namias, P.R. Miller, E.P. Dellinger, C.M. Watson, R. Coimbra, D.L. Dent, S.F. Lowry, * C.S. Cocanour, M.A. West, K.L. Banton, W.G. Cheadle, P.A. Lipsett, C.A. Guidry, and K. Popovsky, for the STOP-IT Trial Investigators⁺

- 518 Adult patients
- Set duration of 4+/-1 days of antibiotic administration after source control of intra-abdominal infections
- Similar outcomes to those treated with longer duration antibiotics (2 days after resolution of fever, leukocytosis, ileus/ max 10 days)
- Median duration of antibiotic therapy was 4.0 days in the experimental group, as compared with 8.0 days in the control group
Pediatric Literature

Journal of Pediatric Surgery 55 (2020) 1026-1031

と、国家の政策の第三部である	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect			
		Pe		
	Journal of Pediatric Surgery			
ESTA	je annar en realactie e en ger j	K		
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpedsurg			

Effectiveness of a clinical pathway for pediatric complex appendicitis based on antibiotic stewardship principles $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$



Megan E. Cunningham ^a, Huirong Zhu ^a, Connor T. Hoch ^b, Annalyn S. DeMello ^a, Nakada D. Gusman ^a, Sara C. Fallon ^a, Monica E. Lopez ^{a,*}

^a Texas Children's Hospital, Division of Pediatric Surgery, 6701 Fannin Street, Houston, TX 77030 ^b Baylor College of Medicine, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Journal c	of Pediatric	Surgery	(2010)	45,	1198-1	202
	25					
And						
ELSE?	VIER					



A complete course of intravenous antibiotics vs a combination of intravenous and oral antibiotics for perforated appendicitis in children: a prospective, randomized trial

Jason D. Fraser, Pablo Aguayo, Charles M. Leys, Scott J. Keckler, Jason G. Newland, Susan W. Sharp, John P. Murphy, Charles L. Snyder, Ronald J. Sharp, Walter S. Andrews, George W. Holcomb III, Daniel J. Ostlie, Shawn D. St. Peter*

Department of Surgery, The Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA

Journal of Pediatric Surgery 54 (2019) 272-275

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pediatric Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpedsurg

Colorectal

Prospective evaluation of a clinical response directed pathway for complicated appendicitis

Nick Lansdale ^a, Samantha Fryer ^b, Mairead Stockdale ^b, James Bancroft ^b, Jennifer Orr ^b, Harriet Corbett ^b, Simon Kenny ^{b,*}

^a Department of Paediatric Surgery, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, UK

^b Department of Paediatric Surgery, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK

Aim of Project

- Evaluate the Collaborative cohort antibiotic usage (oral and IV) after appendectomy for complex appendicitis
- Baseline data
 - discharge antibiotic information plotted against length of stay in morbidity excluded patients (primary outcome)
 - discharge antibiotic information plotted against surgical site infections (secondary outcome)
 - discharge antibiotic information plotted against return to ED/re-hospitalization (alternative secondary outcome/balance metric).
- Understand outliers of centers that discharge these patients without antibiotics, have shorter hospital stay, and less postoperative occurrences
- Use qualitative methods to ascertain postop protocols from low and high outliers

Scatter Plot Example





Hospital Report ID

Variables

- Evaluate in complex appendectomy patients as well as the morbidity excluded set
 - Length of stay
 - Antibiotics at discharge
 - Surgical site infections
 - Return to ED/OR
 - $\circ \quad \text{Readmission}$
 - Duration of postoperative antibiotics (days from source control)*
 - Method of antibiotics (IV vs oral with time stamp for each)*
 - Type of oral antibiotic at discharge*
- Additional confounding factors include severity of complex appendicitis and method of source control

*additional data to be collected

Suppositions and Implications

- Hypothesis: no significant difference in postoperative occurrence rate in centers that discharge complex appendectomy patients with or without antibiotics
- Implication that antibiotic stewardship principles would dictate more judicious use of postoperative antibiotics after source control in this population

Questions & Open Discussion



